

388 Mass. 1008
Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts,
Bristol.

Helena M. PONTE et al.¹

v.

Silverio DaSILVA et al.²

Argued Nov. 4, 1982.

|

Decided March 7, 1983.

Synopsis

Property owners brought action for personal injuries sustained when one owner fell on driveway of her home, alleging that fall was caused by sap and leaves from neighbor's tree. The District Court, Bristol County, ruled for property owners, but the District Court, Appellate Division, vacated findings and ordered complaint dismissed, and property owners appealed. The Supreme Judicial Court held that failure of neighbor to prevent blowing or dropping of leaves, branches, and sap from healthy tree onto owners' property was not unreasonable and could not be basis of finding of negligence or private nuisance.

Order of Appellate Division affirmed.

West Headnotes (2)

[1] **Adjoining Landowners**

🔑 **Trees and Plants on or Near Boundary**

Nuisance

🔑 **What Constitutes Nuisance in General**

Failure of landowner to prevent blowing or dropping of leaves, branches, and sap from healthy tree onto neighbor's property is not unreasonable and cannot be basis of finding of negligence or private nuisance.

[7 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

[2] **Adjoining Landowners**

🔑 **Trees and Plants on or Near Boundary**

Property owner has right to remove so much of neighbor's tree as overhangs his property.

[6 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

Attorneys and Law Firms

****77 *1009** Norman R. McCarthy, Jr., Westport (Kenneth Ponte, North Dartmouth, with him), for plaintiffs.

Francis J. Lynch, III, Brockton, for defendants.

Before HENNESSEY, C.J., and LIACOS, ABRAMS, LYNCH and O'CONNOR, JJ.

Opinion

***1010 RESCRIPT.**

Helena M. Ponte seeks damages for personal injuries sustained when she fell on the driveway of her home. Her husband, Joseph, seeks to recover for consequential damages and loss of consortium. Trial was held before a judge of the District Court, who found for the plaintiffs. The Appellate ****78** Division of the District Courts vacated the findings and ordered that the complaint be dismissed. This is the plaintiffs' appeal from that decision. We affirm the order of the Appellate Division.

We summarize the facts set forth in the judge's memorandum of decision. The plaintiffs own residential premises in the city of New Bedford adjoining residential premises owned by the defendants. A large willow tree is located on the defendants' property, the trunk of which is about four feet from the plaintiffs' driveway. Branches overhang the driveway. Between 1976 and September, 1978, when Helena fell, leaves, sap, and branches fell onto the driveway due to the natural characteristics of the tree, which was not diseased. The condition grew progressively worse. Prior to the accident the plaintiffs complained to the defendants about the clogging of their gutters and swimming pool filter, the debris on their motor vehicles, and the danger of bodily injury. They urged removal of the tree. About ten days before the accident the plaintiffs' attorney wrote to the defendants that Joseph Ponte had fallen on the debris and warned that similar incidents would occur if the tree were not removed. Helena fell on

the driveway of the Ponte residence on September 9, 1978, due to sap and leaves that had come from the tree.

[1] [2] The defendants argue that the facts found by the judge do not warrant a finding for the plaintiffs. The Appellate Division agreed, as do we. This case is distinguishable from *Kurtigian v. Worcester*, 348 Mass. 284, 203 N.E.2d 692 (1965), involving injury as a result of a limb being blown from a decayed tree on adjoining premises. The failure of a landowner to prevent the blowing or dropping of leaves, branches, and sap from a healthy tree onto a neighbor's property is not unreasonable and cannot be the basis of a finding of negligence or private nuisance. Of course, a neighbor has the right to remove so much of the tree as overhangs his property.³ *Michalson v. Nutting*, 275 Mass. 232, 233-234,

175 N.E. 490 (1931). To impose liability for injuries sustained as a result of debris from a healthy tree on property adjoining the site of the accident would be to ignore reality, and would be unworkable. No case has been brought to our attention in which liability has been imposed in such circumstances.

The order of the Appellate Division of the District Courts is affirmed.

So ordered.

All Citations

388 Mass. 1008, 446 N.E.2d 77

Footnotes

¹ Joseph G. Ponte.

² Fernanda DaSilva.

³ We note the plaintiffs' contention that self-help of this kind would not have prevented the accident.